

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,006	10/12/2001	Charles Brockway	MIDTF/306P2	9617
75	90 01/23/2003			
Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45202			VU, STEPHEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St

# Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/976,006

Brockway et al

Examiner
Stephen Vu

Art Unit
3636

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less then thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned pater; term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Independent of the property o				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12/01	& <i>6/12/02</i> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) 🔀 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/976,006

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the sentence on lines 1-3 does not contain a noun/verb agreement. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lamb et al (D420,225).

Lamb et al (D420,225) shows a chair comprising a chair support, a seat section, a back section, and a pair of arm rests rigidly connected to the back section.

With claims 2 and 10, the back section has a back frame and a back cushion supported on the back frame.

With claims 3,11, and 17, the arm rests are integrally formed with the back frame.

With claims 4,12, and 18, the back frame and arm rests comprise a unitary casting.

With claims 5 and 13, a drive mechanism is provided.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,006

Art Unit: 3636

With claims 6 and 14, the chair support has a chair base, a lift arm supported on the chair base, and a chair support assembly supported on the lift arm.

With claims 7 and 15, the support assembly comprises a yoke member supported on the lift arm and pivotally supporting the back section and a seat support (see Figure 5).

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nordstrom et al (D347,946).

Nordstrom et al (D347,946) shows a chair comprising a chair support, a seat section, a back section, and a pair of arm rests rigidly connected to the back section.

With claims 2 and 10, the back section has a back frame and a back cushion supported on the back frame.

With claims 3,11, and 17, the arm rests are integrally formed with the back frame.

With claims 4,12, and 18, the back frame and arm rests comprise a unitary casting.

With claims 5 and 13, a drive mechanism is provided.

With claims 6 and 14, the chair support has a chair base, a lift arm supported on the chair base, and a chair support assembly supported on the lift arm.

With claims 7 and 15, the support assembly comprises a yoke member supported on the lift arm and pivotally supporting the back section and a seat support (see Figure 5).

5. Claims 1-2,4-10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heubeck.

Heubeck shows a chair comprising a chair support, a seat section (5), a back section (5), and a pair of arm rests (11) rigidly connected to the back section.

With claims 2 and 10, the back section has a back frame and a back cushion supported on the back frame.

With claims 4,12, and 18, the back frame and arm rests comprise a unitary casting.

With claims 5 and 13, a drive mechanism is provided.

With claims 6 and 14, the chair support has a chair base (13), a lift arm (2) supported on the chair base, and a chair support assembly (3) supported on the lift arm.

With claims 7 and 15, the support assembly comprises a yoke member supported on the lift arm and pivotally supporting the back section and a seat support (see Figure 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 3,11,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heubeck.

Heubeck discloses the claimed invention except for the arm rests to be integrally formed with the back frame. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrally form the arm rests with the back frame, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krestel et al, Broadhead et al, Broadhead, Stoeckl et al, Taylor et al, Brockway et al, Krebs, Krebs et al, and Johnson are cited as showing similar types of chair.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,006

Art Unit: 3636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Stephen Vu

Patent Examiner

January 20, 2003

eter M. Cuorno

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600